



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Apr 20, 2024 – 06:20 PM EDT

PDB ID : 2MXF  
BMRB ID : 25407  
Title : Structure of the DNA complex of the C-Terminal domain of MvaT  
Authors : Ding, P.; Xia, B.  
Deposited on : 2014-12-30

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.36.2


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*




The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 65%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	55	
2	B	12	
2	C	12	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 12 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:79-A:123 (45)	0.12	12

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 3, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17, 20
2	2, 6, 7, 11, 16, 18, 19
3	5, 15
Single-model clusters	4

### 3 Entry composition

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1521 atoms, of which 657 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called MvaT.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
1	A	47	Total	C	H	N	O	0
			761	242	383	70	66	

There are 7 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	76	MET	-	initiating methionine	UNP Q9HW86
A	125	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q9HW86
A	126	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q9HW86
A	127	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q9HW86
A	128	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q9HW86
A	129	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q9HW86
A	130	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q9HW86

- Molecule 2 is a DNA chain called 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
2	B	12	Total	C	H	N	O	P
			380	117	137	45	70	11
2	C	12	Total	C	H	N	O	P
			380	117	137	45	70	11

## 4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble


These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A: 




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B: 



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C: 




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A: 





- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  8% 92%



• Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  17% 75% 8%



#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2


• Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  75% 5% 15%





• Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  8% 92%



• Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  8% 83% 8%



#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3


• Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  75% 5% 15%




• Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  8% 92%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C: 8% 83% 8%



#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A: 75% 7% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B: 17% 83%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C: 17% 75% 8%



#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A: 75% 5% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B: 17% 83%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  8% 83% 8%



#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  73% 7% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  8% 92%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  17% 75% 8%




#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  75% 7% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'


Chain B:  17% 83%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  8% 83% 8%






#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8


- Molecule 1: MvaT



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'




#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9


- Molecule 1: MvaT



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'



#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  75% 7% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  17% 83%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  92% 8%



#### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  75% 7% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  17% 83%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  17% 75% 8%



#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12 (medoid)


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  75% 7% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  17% 83%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  8% 83% 8%



#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  76% 5% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  8% 92%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  17% 75% 8%



#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  75% 5% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B: 8% 92%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'


Chain C: 17% 75% 8%



#### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A: 75% 5% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B: 8% 92%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C: 8% 83% 8%



#### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A: 75% 7% 15%





- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  8% 92%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  8% 83% 8%



#### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  75% 5% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  8% 92%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain C:  17% 75% 8%



#### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18


- Molecule 1: MvaT

Chain A:  75% 5% 15%




- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'

Chain B:  8% 92%



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'




#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19


- Molecule 1: MvaT



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'




#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20


- Molecule 1: MvaT



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'



- Molecule 2: 5'-D(\*CP\*GP\*CP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*AP\*TP\*GP\*CP\*G)-3'



C13	G14	C15	A16	T17	A18	T19	A20	T21	G22	C23	G24
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	738
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	738
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	65%



## 6 Model quality i

### 6.1 Standard geometry i

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with  $|Z| > 5$  is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.69±0.00	0±0/375 ( 0.0± 0.0%)	1.12±0.01	1±0/507 ( 0.2± 0.1%)
2	B	1.65±0.01	0±0/272 ( 0.0± 0.0%)	2.46±0.02	29±1/418 ( 6.9± 0.3%)
2	C	1.64±0.01	0±0/272 ( 0.0± 0.0%)	2.48±0.01	25±1/418 ( 5.9± 0.2%)
All	All	1.34	0/18380 ( 0.0%)	2.07	1084/26860 ( 4.0%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
2	C	0.0±0.0	1.0±0.0
All	All	0	20

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
2	C	18	DA	N1-C6-N6	-11.04	111.97	118.60	7	20
2	B	8	DA	N1-C6-N6	-10.78	112.14	118.60	8	20
2	B	4	DA	C5-C6-N1	8.69	122.05	117.70	18	20
2	C	20	DA	C5-C6-N1	8.68	122.04	117.70	16	20
2	C	16	DA	C5-C6-N1	8.54	121.97	117.70	4	20
2	B	6	DA	N1-C6-N6	-8.34	113.59	118.60	10	20
2	C	16	DA	N1-C6-N6	-8.07	113.76	118.60	4	20
2	B	8	DA	C4-C5-C6	-7.82	113.09	117.00	18	20
2	B	4	DA	N1-C6-N6	-7.82	113.91	118.60	20	20
2	B	6	DA	C5-C6-N1	7.57	121.48	117.70	4	20
2	C	23	DC	N3-C2-O2	-7.56	116.61	121.90	4	20
2	C	13	DC	N3-C2-O2	-7.55	116.61	121.90	5	20
2	B	11	DC	N3-C2-O2	-7.48	116.67	121.90	20	20

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
2	C	15	DC	N3-C2-O2	-7.41	116.71	121.90	4	20
2	C	20	DA	N1-C6-N6	-7.23	114.26	118.60	6	20
2	C	18	DA	C4-C5-C6	-7.23	113.39	117.00	3	20
2	B	8	DA	C5-C6-N1	7.22	121.31	117.70	18	20
2	C	18	DA	C5-C6-N1	7.21	121.30	117.70	18	20
2	B	3	DC	N3-C2-O2	-7.20	116.86	121.90	6	20
1	A	80	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	7.18	123.89	120.30	5	18
2	B	1	DC	N3-C2-O2	-7.12	116.92	121.90	10	20
2	C	16	DA	C4-C5-C6	-7.11	113.44	117.00	10	20
2	B	4	DA	C4-C5-C6	-7.05	113.48	117.00	17	20
2	B	5	DT	C6-C5-C7	-7.04	118.68	122.90	7	20
2	C	19	DT	C6-C5-C7	-6.97	118.72	122.90	6	20
2	B	6	DA	C4-C5-C6	-6.85	113.57	117.00	9	20
2	C	17	DT	C6-C5-C7	-6.51	118.99	122.90	4	20
2	C	23	DC	N1-C2-O2	6.50	122.80	118.90	18	20
2	B	9	DT	O4'-C4'-C3'	6.46	109.87	106.00	15	20
2	C	17	DT	N3-C2-O2	-6.32	118.51	122.30	8	20
2	C	15	DC	N1-C2-O2	6.30	122.68	118.90	3	20
2	C	21	DT	N3-C2-O2	-6.24	118.56	122.30	5	20
2	C	20	DA	C4-C5-C6	-6.15	113.93	117.00	6	20
2	B	11	DC	N1-C2-O2	6.12	122.57	118.90	16	20
2	C	13	DC	N1-C2-O2	6.08	122.55	118.90	5	20
2	B	5	DT	N3-C2-O2	-6.02	118.69	122.30	5	20
2	B	7	DT	C6-C5-C7	-6.01	119.29	122.90	12	20
2	B	12	DG	N1-C6-O6	-5.92	116.35	119.90	18	20
2	C	23	DC	N3-C4-C5	5.87	124.25	121.90	17	20
2	B	3	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.86	122.42	118.90	12	20
2	C	24	DG	N1-C6-O6	-5.81	116.42	119.90	14	20
2	B	3	DC	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.79	109.47	106.00	1	20
2	B	1	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.69	122.32	118.90	10	20
2	B	9	DT	N3-C2-O2	-5.65	118.91	122.30	3	19
2	B	7	DT	N3-C2-O2	-5.65	118.91	122.30	9	20
2	B	3	DC	N3-C4-C5	5.63	124.15	121.90	11	17
2	B	9	DT	C6-C5-C7	-5.62	119.53	122.90	17	20
2	C	21	DT	C6-C5-C7	-5.61	119.53	122.90	19	20
2	C	23	DC	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.58	109.35	106.00	8	20
2	B	1	DC	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.55	109.33	106.00	1	20
2	C	22	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	5.54	111.88	108.00	18	9
2	B	11	DC	N3-C4-C5	5.44	124.08	121.90	5	16
2	B	7	DT	O4'-C1'-N1	5.43	111.80	108.00	5	1
2	B	6	DA	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.42	109.25	106.00	13	5

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
2	B	2	DG	N1-C6-O6	-5.33	116.70	119.90	3	14
2	C	15	DC	N3-C4-C5	5.32	124.03	121.90	8	5
2	B	11	DC	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.32	109.19	106.00	19	13
2	C	21	DT	C5-C6-N1	-5.25	120.55	123.70	18	14
2	B	8	DA	C6-C5-N7	5.24	135.97	132.30	18	5
2	B	5	DT	N1-C2-N3	5.17	117.70	114.60	3	3
2	C	14	DG	N1-C6-O6	-5.13	116.82	119.90	3	3
2	B	7	DT	C5-C6-N1	-5.09	120.65	123.70	15	1
2	C	24	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	5.01	111.51	108.00	17	1

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
2	C	16	DA	Sidechain	20

## 6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
All	All	17000	12920	12860	-

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	44/55 (80%)	40±0 (91±0%)	3±1 (8±1%)	1±1 (1±1%)	16	63
All	All	880/1100 (80%)	800 (91%)	69 (8%)	11 (1%)	16	63

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	80	ARG	9
1	A	100	ASN	2

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	37/46 (80%)	34±0 (92±0%)	3±0 (8±0%)	15	63
All	All	740/920 (80%)	680 (92%)	60 (8%)	15	63

All 3 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	84	GLN	20
1	A	94	ILE	20
1	A	96	THR	20

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 65% for the well-defined parts and 65% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	546
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	546
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	4

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	46	$-0.24 \pm 0.12$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	42	$0.28 \pm 0.20$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
$^{15}\text{N}$	45	$-0.96 \pm 0.25$	Should be applied

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 48%, i.e. 534 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1102. 0 out of 6 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	178/225 (79%)	91/92 (99%)	44/90 (49%)	43/43 (100%)
Sidechain	316/342 (92%)	214/220 (97%)	99/108 (92%)	3/14 (21%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Aromatic	40/61 (66%)	20/30 (67%)	17/24 (71%)	3/7 (43%)
Sugar	0/288 (0%)	0/168 (0%)	0/120 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	0/186 (0%)	0/114 (0%)	0/42 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	534/1102 (48%)	325/624 (52%)	160/384 (42%)	49/94 (52%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 49%, i.e. 546 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1124. 0 out of 6 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Backbone	187/236 (79%)	96/97 (99%)	46/94 (49%)	45/45 (100%)
Sidechain	319/345 (92%)	216/222 (97%)	100/109 (92%)	3/14 (21%)
Aromatic	40/69 (58%)	20/34 (59%)	17/26 (65%)	3/9 (33%)
Sugar	0/288 (0%)	0/168 (0%)	0/120 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	0/186 (0%)	0/114 (0%)	0/42 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	546/1124 (49%)	332/635 (52%)	163/391 (42%)	51/98 (52%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	88	PRO	HD2	0.72	1.93 – 5.38	-8.5
1	A	87	ASN	HB2	0.87	1.27 – 4.34	-6.3
1	A	88	PRO	HG2	0.21	0.41 – 3.45	-5.7
1	A	110	LYS	HG2	0.05	0.13 – 2.61	-5.3

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



## 7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_2*

### 7.2.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	192
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	192
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

### 7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

### 7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 17%, i.e. 186 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1102. 0 out of 6 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	0/225 (0%)	0/92 (0%)	0/90 (0%)	0/43 (0%)

*Continued on next page...*



*Continued from previous page...*

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Sidechain	0/342 (0%)	0/220 (0%)	0/108 (0%)	0/14 (0%)
Aromatic	0/61 (0%)	0/30 (0%)	0/24 (0%)	0/7 (0%)
Sugar	130/288 (45%)	130/168 (77%)	0/120 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	56/186 (30%)	56/114 (49%)	0/42 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	186/1102 (17%)	186/624 (30%)	0/384 (0%)	0/94 (0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 17%, i.e. 186 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1124. 0 out of 6 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Backbone	0/236 (0%)	0/97 (0%)	0/94 (0%)	0/45 (0%)
Sidechain	0/345 (0%)	0/222 (0%)	0/109 (0%)	0/14 (0%)
Aromatic	0/69 (0%)	0/34 (0%)	0/26 (0%)	0/9 (0%)
Sugar	130/288 (45%)	130/168 (77%)	0/120 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	56/186 (30%)	56/114 (49%)	0/42 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	186/1124 (17%)	186/635 (29%)	0/391 (0%)	0/98 (0%)

#### 7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins